

ANNEX B
GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD
CONSOLIDATED PROCUREMENT MONITORING REPORT
(Page 1 of 2)

Name of Agency: MMWS REGULATORY OFFICE

Period Covered: CY 2016

	Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10	Column 11
1. Public Bidding*											
1.1. Goods	12,170,036.80		0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.2. Works	0.00		0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.3. Consulting Services	83,012,272.00		1	0	0.00	1	1	1	1	1	0
Sub-Total	95,182,308.80		1	0	0.00	1	1	1	1	1	0
2. Alternative Modes											
2.1.1 Shopping (S2.1 b above 50K)	515,500.00		3	2	505,900.00					3	2
2.1.2 Shopping (Others)	3,572,542.00		54	48	1,276,003.00						
2.2. Direct Contracting	2,585,000.00		4	4	1,562,200.00						
2.3. Repeat Order	0.00		0	0	0.00						
2.4. Limited Source Bidding	0.00		0	0	0.00						
2.5.1 Negotiation (Common-Use Supplies)	125,766.00		6	6	125,766.00						
2.5.2 Negotiation (TFB 53.1)	0.00		0	0	0.00						
2.5.3 Negotiation (SVP 53.9 above 50K)	1,117,550.00		5	5	990,045.00						
2.5.4 Negotiation (Others)	7,579,890.00		31	28	1,335,428.00						
Sub-Total	15,495,248.00		103	93	5,795,342.00						
3. Foreign Funded Procurement**											
3.1. Publicly-Bid	0.00		0	0	0.00						
3.2. Alternative Modes	0.00		0	0	0.00						
Sub-Total	0.00		0	0	0.00						
4. Others, specify:	1,201,700.00		29	29	802,667.00						
TOTAL	111,880,256.80		133	122	6,598,009.00						

* Should include foreign-funded publicly-bid projects per procurement type

** All procurement using Foreign Funds excluding National Competitive Bidding (NCB) contracts; conversion to peso will be at BSP rates at the time the bids/quotations were submitted

Received by: A. BORRERO

17 MAR 14 P1:41

Technical Support Office

ANNEX B
GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD
CONSOLIDATED PROCUREMENT MONITORING REPORT
 (Page 2 of 2)

Name of Agency: MWSS REGULATORY OFFICE

Period Covered: CY 2016

	Total No. Of Contracts that incurred negative slippage	Total No. of contracts with amendments to order or variation orders	Ave. No. of Days for Approval of Resolution/Issuance of Notice of Award	No. of Contracts with Observers Attending	No. of Contracts with COA Observers Attending	Ave. No. of Days to Resolve Requests for Reconsiderations / Protests	No. of Contracts Awarded within prescribed timeframes
1. Public Bidding*	Column 12	Column 13	Column 14	Column 15	Column 16	Column 17	Column 18
1.1. Goods	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	N/A
1.2. Works	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
1.3. Consulting Services	0	0	N/A	1	1	N/A	N/A
Sub-Total	0	0	N/A	1	1	#DIV/0!	0
2. Alternative Modes							
2.1.1 Shopping (52.1 b above 50K)							
2.1.2 Shopping (Others)							
2.2. Direct Contracting							
2.3. Repeat Order							
2.4. Limited Source Bidding							
2.5.1 Negotiation (Common-Use Supplies)							
2.5.2 Negotiation (TFB 53.1)							
2.5.3 Negotiation (SVP 53.9 above 50K)							
2.5.4 Negotiation (Others)							
Sub-Total							
3. Foreign Funded Procurement**							
3.1. Publicly-Bid							
3.2. Alternative Modes							
Sub-Total							
4. Others, specify:							
TOTAL							

* Should include foreign-funded publicly-bid projects per procurement type

** All procurement using Foreign Funds excluding National Competitive Bidding (NCB) contracts; conversion to peso will be at BSP rates at the time the bids/quotations were submitted

Prepared by:

Christopher D. Chuegan
 CHRISTOPHER D. CHUEGAN
 BAC Secretariat Head

Recommending Approval:

Gerardo A. Sullano
 GERARDO A. SULLANO
 BAC Chairman

Approved:

Joel C. Yu
 JOEL C. YU
 Chief Regulator

ANNEX A
GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD
Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form

Name of Agency: MWSS REGULATORY OFFICE
Date of Self Assessment: February 15, 2017

Name of Evaluator: _____
Position: _____

No.	Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCPI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the Indicators and SubIndicators	Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be Included in the Evaluation)		
PILLAR I. COMPLIANCE WITH LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK							
Indicator 1. Competitive Bidding as Default Procurement Method							
1	(a) Percentage of public bidding contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	0.00%	0.00	No public bidding contract was awarded because the MWSS Board of Trustees did not meet from July to December 2016	PMRs		
2	(b) Percentage of public bidding contracts in terms of volume of total procurement	0.00%	0.00	No public bidding contract was awarded because the MWSS Board of Trustees did not meet from July to December 2016	PMRs		
Indicator 2. Limited Use of Alternative Methods of Procurement							
3	(a) Percentage of Shopping contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	27.01%	0.00		PMRs		
4	(b) Percentage of Negotiated Procurement in terms of amount of total procurement	37.15%	0.00		PMRs		
5	(c) Percentage of Direct Contracting in terms of amount of total procurement	23.68%	0.00		PMRs		
6	(d) Percentage of Repeat Order contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	0.00%	3.00		PMRs		
7	(e) Percentage of Limited Source contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	0.00%	3.00		PMRs		
8	(f) Preparation of Annual Procurement Plan for Common-Use Supplies and Equipment (APP-CSE) and Procurement of Common-Use Supplies and Equipment from the Procurement Service	Compliant	3.00		APP, APP-CSE, PMR		
Indicator 3. Competitiveness of the Bidding Process							
9	(a) Average number of entities who acquired bidding documents	1.00	0.00	Only one bidding activity was conducted and only one bidder for participated. The procurement was terminated due to non-compliance to the procurement timetables	Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records		
10	(b) Average number of bidders who submitted bids	1.00	0.00	Only one bidding activity was conducted and only one bidder for participated. The procurement was terminated due to non-compliance to the procurement timetables	Abstract of Bids or other agency records		
11	(c) Average number of bidders who passed eligibility stage	1.00	1.00	Only one bidding activity was conducted and only one bidder for participated. The procurement was terminated due to non-compliance to the procurement timetables	Abstract of Bids or other agency records		
12	(d) Sufficient period to prepare bids	Fully Compliant	3.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records		
		Average I	1.08				
PILLAR II. AGENCY INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND MANAGEMENT CAPACITY							
Indicator 4. Presence of Procurement Organizations							
13	(a) Creation of Bids and Awards Committee(s)	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copy of Order creating BAC; Organizational Chart; and Certification of Training		
14	(b) Creation of a BAC Secretariat or Procurement Unit	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copy of Order creating BAC Secretariat; Organizational Chart; and Certification of Training		
Indicator 5. Procurement Planning and Implementation							
15	(a) APP is prepared for all types of procurement	Compliant	3.00		Copy of APP and its supplements (if any)		
Indicator 6. Use of Philippine Government Electronic Procurement System (PhilGEPS)							
16	(a) Percentage of bid opportunities posted by the Phil-GEPS-registered Agency	100.00%	3.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records		

GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD
Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form

Name of Agency: MWSS REGULATORY OFFICE

Date of Self Assessment: February 15, 2017

Name of Evaluator: _____

Position: _____

No.	Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCPI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the Indicators and SubIndicators	Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be Included in the Evaluation)
17	(b) Percentage of contract award information posted by the Phil-GEPS-registered Agency	n/a	n/a		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
18	(c) Percentage of contract awards procured through alternative methods posted by the Phil-GEPS-registered Agency	100.00%	3.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
Indicator 7. System for Disseminating and Monitoring Procurement Information					
19	(a) Presence of website that provides up-to-date procurement information easily accessible at no cost	Partially Compliant	1.00	MWSS RO did not post the bid document in its website	Identify specific procurement-related portion in the agency website and specific website links
20	(b) Preparation of Procurement Monitoring Reports using the prescribed format, prompt submission to GPPB, and posting in agency website	Partially Compliant	1.00	The PMRs were not submitted in a timely manner and were not posted in the website	Copy of PMR and received copy that it was submitted to GPPB
		Average II	2.43		
Pillar III. PROCUREMENT OPERATIONS AND MARKET PRACTICES					
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes					
21	(a) Percentage of total amount of contracts awarded against total amount of approved APPs	5.90%	0.00	No public bidding contract was awarded because the MWSS Board of Trustees did not meet from July to December 2016	APP (including Supplemental amendments, if any) and PMRs
22	(b) Percentage of total number of contracts awarded against total number of procurement activities done through public bidding	0.00%	0.00	No public bidding contract was awarded because the MWSS Board of Trustees did not meet from July to December 2016	APP(including Supplemental amendments, if any)and PMRs
23	(c) Percentage of failed biddings and total number of procurement activities conducted	100.00%	0.00	No public bidding contract was awarded because the MWSS Board of Trustees did not meet from July to December 2016	APP (including Supplemental Amendments, if any) and PMRs
Indicator 9. Compliance with Procurement Timeframes					
24	(a) Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed procurement time frames to procure goods as indicated in Annex "C" of the IRR	n/a	n/a	No public bidding contract was awarded because the MWSS Board of Trustees did not meet from July to December 2016	PMRs
25	(b) Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed procurement time frames to procure infrastructure projects as indicated in Annex "C" of the IRR	n/a	n/a	MWSS RO does not have procurement of infrastructure projects in 2016	PMRs
26	(c) Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed procurement time frames to procure consulting services as indicated in Annex "C" of the IRR	n/a	n/a	No public bidding contract was awarded because the MWSS Board of Trustees did not meet from July to December 2016	PMRs
Indicator 10. Capacity Building for Government Personnel and Private Sector Participants					
27	(a) There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel	Not Compliant	0.00		Ask BAC Secretariat Head, verify Office Orders on training of Procurement Staff
28	(b) Percentage of participation of procurement staff in annual procurement training	Between 91.00-100%	3.00	Training for RO personnel handling procurement and contract management was conducted on 8 December 2016	Ask for copies of Office Orders, training modules, list of participants, schedules of actual training conducted
29	(c) Agency has activities to inform and update entities on public procurement	Compliant	3.00	Orientation on the procurement requirements was conducted for prospective service providers on 10 June 2016	Ask for copies of documentation of activities for bidders
Indicator 11. Management of Procurement and Contract Management Records					

shy

GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD
Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form

Name of Agency: MWSS REGULATORY OFFICE
 Date of Self Assessment: February 15, 2017

Name of Evaluator: _____
 Position: _____

No.	Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCPI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the Indicators and SubIndicators	Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be Included in the Evaluation)
30	(a) The BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records	Partially Compliant	1.00	The BAC Secretariat does not have a dedicated space to keep its records. The location of records are based on the personnel designated as BAC Secretariat	Verify actual procurement records and time it took to retrieve records (should be no more than two hours) Refer to Section 4.1 of User's Manual for list of procurement-related documents for record-keeping and maintenance.
31	(b) Implementing Unit has and is implementing a system for keeping and maintaining contract management records	Not Compliant	0.00	The End-users does not maintain a list of contract management documents for the last five years. End-users assure that records are available if needed, but could not ascertain the ease of their retrieval	Verify actual contract management records and time it took to retrieve records should be no more than two hours
Indicator 12. Contract Management Procedures					
32	(a) Agency has well defined procedures and standards for quality control, acceptance and inspection, supervision of works and evaluation of contractors' performance	Partially Compliant	1.00	RO prepares receiving / acceptance reports regularly, but there is no written procedures for quality control. RO does not have procurement of infrastructure project in 2016	Verify copies of written procedures for quality control, acceptance and inspection; CPES evaluation formsz
33	(b) Agency complies with the thresholds prescribed for amendment to order, variation orders, advance payment, and slippage in publicly bid contracts.	Substantially Compliant	2.00	RO did not encounter instances of amendment or variation orders, advance payments nor slippage in publicly bid contracts in 2016	Specific procurement contract with amendment to order, variation order or with negative slippage
34	(c) Timely payment of procurement contracts	On or before 30 days	3.00	The average processing time for payment is around 7 calendar days based on the representation of the Administration Department	Ask Finance or Accounting Head of Agency for average period for the release of payments for procurement contracts
		Average III	1.18		
PILLAR IV. INTEGRITY AND TRANSPARENCY OF AGENCY PROCUREMENT SYSTEM					
Indicator 13. Observer Participation in Public Bidding					
35	(a) Observers are invited to all stages of every public bidding activity	Not Compliant	0.00		Verify copies of Invitation Letters to CSOs and professional associations and COA (List and average number of CSOs and PAs invited shall be noted.)
36	(b) Attendance of Observers in public bidding activities	n/a	n/a	No public bidding contract was awarded because the MWSS Board of Trustees did not meet from July to December 2016	PMRs and Abstract of Bids
Indicator 14. Internal and External Audit of Procurement Activities					
37	(a) Creation and operation of internal audit unit as prescribed by DBM (Circular Letter No. 2008-5, April 14, 2008)	Substantially Compliant	2.00	MWSS has an internal audit unit directly reporting to the Audit Committee of the MWSS Board of Trustees	Verify copy of Order or show actual organizational chart showing IAU, audit reports, action plans and IAU recommendations
38	(b) Agency Action on Prior Year's Audit Recommendations (APYAR) on procurement related transactions	Above 90-100% compliance	3.00	RO has no prior year audit recommendation on procurement related transactions in 2016	Verify COA Annual Audit Report on Action on Prior Year's Audit Recommendations
Indicator 15. Capacity to Handle Procurement Related Complaints					
39	(a) The Procuring Entity has an efficient procurement complaints system and has the capacity to comply with procedural requirements	Partially Compliant	1.00	The BAC ensures that requests for reconsiderations are addressed within 7 days from receipt. RO did not receive any protest nor procurement-related complaints in 2016	Verify copies of BAC resolutions on Motion for Reconsiderations, Protests and Complaints; Office Orders adopting measures to address procurement-related complaints
Indicator 16. Anti-Corruption Programs Related to Procurement					
40	(a) Agency has a specific anti-corruption program/s related to procurement	Substantially Compliant	2.00	MWSS adopted a Corporate Governance Manual and No Gift Policy	Verify documentation of anti-corruption program
		Average IV	1.60		

[Handwritten signature]

GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD
Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form

Name of Agency: MWSS REGULATORY OFFICE
 Date of Self Assessment: February 15, 2017

Name of Evaluator: _____
 Position: _____

No.	Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCPI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the Indicators and SubIndicators	Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be Included in the Evaluation)
GRAND TOTAL (Average I + Average II + Average III + Average IV / 4)			1.57		

* APCPI Rating is based on the APCPI Rating System found in Annex C of the User's Guide. Please use this rating system for the self-assessment. After completing the assessment, identify those Indicators with ratings of between 0 to 2 and formulate a procurement capacity development plan called the APCPI Action Plan based on the attached format and submit to GPPB for monitoring.

* For sub-indicators that are not applicable to your specific agency, please write the word Not Applicable in the second column and do not put a rating.

Summary of APCPI Scores by Pillar

APCPI Pillars	Ideal Rating	Agency Rating
Pillar I: Compliance with Legislative and Regulatory Framework	3.0000	1.08
Pillar II: Agency Institutional Framework and Management Capacity	3.0000	2.43
Pillar III: Procurement Operations and Market Practices	3.0000	1.18
Pillar IV: Integrity and Transparency of Agency Procurement Systems	3.0000	1.60
Total (Pillar I+Pillar II+Pillar III+ PillarIV)/4	3.0000	1.57

dhg

Annex D

PROCUREMENT CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT ACTION PLAN TEMPLATE

Name of Agency: MWSS REGULATORY OFFICE

Period: 2016

Indicators	Key Area for Development	Proposed Actions to Address Key Areas	Responsible Entity	Timetable	Resources Needed
3(a)(b)(c)	Improvement in the number of participants in the bidding process of the agency	Breakdown the projects into several lots, in order to encourage more participants.	End-user units	CY 2017	No additional resources needed
7(b)	Timely submission of the Procurement Monitoring Report (PMR) and posting the same in the website	Assign a member of the Secretariat that is dedicated to the regular updating of the PMR as the BAC proceeds with the procurements	BAC Secretariat / BAC / Head of Procuring Entity (HoPE)	CY 2017	No additional resources needed
8(a)	Increase in percentage of contracts awarded through competitive bidding against amount approved in the APP	Regular monitoring of scheduled procurement for the year and amendment of the APP, whenever necessary, for procurements that may be cancelled.	BAC Secretariat / BAC / Head of Procuring Entity (HoPE)	CY 2017	No additional resources needed
9	Increase the awareness of the MWSS Board of Trustees (as the HoPE) on the compliance to the timeframes of the procurement activities	Highlight the procurement timeframes in all communications to the MWSS Board of Trustees on the BAC recommendations requiring actions.	BAC Secretariat / BAC	CY 2017	No additional resources needed
10(a)	Performance evaluation system for procurement personnel	Ask copies of evaluation tools used by other agencies to be used as a guide in the development an evaluation tool for MWSS RO	BAC Secretariat / BAC	CY 2017	No additional resources needed
11(a)	Improve the system for keeping and maintaining procurement records	Request for a separate office to serve as central repository of procurement documents with dedicated computer for the processing and storage of the soft copies of the procurement documents	BAC Secretariat / BAC / Head of Procuring Entity (HoPE)	CY 2017	Budget for office renovation, and storage facilities, including computers and peripherals



 4/9/16

AGENCY PROCUREMENT COMPLIANCE AND PERFORMANCE INDICATOR (APCPI)
CONFIRMATION QUESTIONNAIRE

Name of Agency: MWSS REGULATORY OFFICE
Name of Respondent: CHRISTOPHER D. CHUEGAN

Date: February 15, 2017
Position: Head, BAC Secretariat

Instruction: Put a check (✓) mark inside the box beside each condition/requirement met as provided below and then fill in the corresponding blanks with numerical values only.

1. Do you prepare an Annual Procurement Plan for all types of procurement? (5a)

☒ Yes ☐ No

2. Do you prepare an Annual Procurement Plan for Common-Use Supplies and Equipment (APP-CSE) and Procure your Common-Use Supplies and Equipment from the Procurement Service? (2f)

☒ Yes ☐ No

3. In giving your prospective bidders sufficient period to prepare their bids, which of these conditions is/are met? (3d)

- ☒ Bidding documents are available at the time of advertisement/posting at the PhilGEPS website or Agency website;
- ☒ Supplemental bid bulletins are issued at least seven (7) calendar days before bid opening;
- ☒ Minutes of pre-bid conference are readily available within three (3) days.

4. In creating your BAC and BAC Secretariat which of these conditions is/are present?

For BAC: (4a)

- ☒ Office Order creating the Bids and Awards Committee;
- ☒ There are at least five (5) members of the BAC;
- ☒ Members of BAC meet qualifications; and/or
- ☒ Majority of the members of BAC are trained on R.A. 9184

For BAC Secretariat: (4b)

- ☒ Office Order creating of Bids and Awards Committee Secretariat or designing Procurement Unit to act as BAC Secretariat
- ☒ The Head of the BAC Secretariat meets the minimum qualifications
- ☒ Majority of the members of BAC Secretariat are trained on R.A. 9184

5. In determining whether you provide up-to-date procurement information easily accessible at no cost, which of these conditions is/are met? (7a)

- ☒ Agency has a working website
- ☐ Procurement information is up-to-date
- ☐ Information is easily accessible at no cost

6. In complying with the preparation, posting and submission of your agency's Procurement Monitoring Report, which of these conditions is/are met? (7b)

- ☒ Agency prepares the PMRs
- ☐ PMRs are promptly submitted to the GPPB
- ☐ PMRs are posted in the agency website
- ☒ PMRs are prepared using the prescribed format



AGENCY PROCUREMENT COMPLIANCE AND PERFORMANCE INDICATOR (APCPI)
CONFIRMATION QUESTIONNAIRE

7. In evaluating the performance of your procurement personnel, which of these conditions is/are present? (10a)

- ☐ There is a written procedure within the procuring entity in evaluating the performance of procurement personnel
- ☐ Procuring entity communicates standards of evaluation to procurement personnel
- ☐ Procuring entity acts on the results and takes corresponding action

8. Have all of your procurement staff participated in annual procurement training? (10b)

- ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please indicate the how many of your procurement staff participated in annual procurement training: _____ out of _____

9. Do you conduct dialogue to inform and update bidders on the procurement regulations? (10c)

- ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, how often ? _____ 1 _____ times/year

10. In determining whether the BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records, which of these conditions is/are present? (11a)

- ☐ There is a list of contract management related documents that are maintained for a period of at least five years
- ☐ The documents are kept in a duly designated and secure location with hard copies kept in appropriate filing cabinets and soft copies in dedicated computers
- ☒ The documents are properly filed, segregated, easy to retrieve and accessible to authorized users and audit personnel

11. In determining whether the Implementing Units has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records, which of these conditions is/are present? (11b)


- ☐ There is a list of contract management related documents that are maintained for a period of at least five years
- ☐ The documents are kept in a duly designated and secure location with hard copies kept in appropriate filing cabinets and soft copies in dedicated computers
- ☐ The documents are properly filed, segregated, easy to retrieve and accessible to authorized users and audit personnel

12. In determining if the agency has well defined and written procedures for quality control, acceptance and inspection of goods, works and services, which of these conditions is/are present? (12a)

- ☒ Agency has written procedures for quality control, acceptance and inspection of goods, services and works
- ☐ Supervision of civil works is carried out by qualified construction supervisors
- ☐ Agency implements CPES for its works projects and uses results to check contractors' qualifications (applicable for works only)

13. In determining whether your agency complies with the thresholds prescribed for amendments to order, variation orders, advance payment, and slippage in publicly bid contracts, which of these conditions is/are met? (12b)

- ☐ Amendments to order or variation orders, if any, are within ten percent (10%) of the original contract price
- ☒ Advance payment(s) made does/do not exceed fifteen percent (15%) of the contract amount
- ☒ Goods, works and services are timely delivered



AGENCY PROCUREMENT COMPLIANCE AND PERFORMANCE INDICATOR (APCPI)
CONFIRMATION QUESTIONNAIRE

14. How long it will take for your agency to release the final payment to your supplier/service provider, contractor/consultant? (12c) 7 days

15. Do you invite Observers in all stages of procurement? (13a)

☒ Yes ☐ No

(please mark all applicable stages)

- ☐ Ads/Post of IAEB
- ☒ Pre-bid Conference
- ☒ Eligibility Check
- ☒ Submission/Opening of Bids
- ☒ Bid Evaluation
- ☒ Post Qualification
- ☐ Notice of Award
- ☒ Contract Signing/Approve Purchase Order
- ☐ Notice to Proceed

16. In creating and operating your Internal Audit Unit as prescribed by the DBM (Circular Letter No. 2008-5, April 14, 2008), which set of conditions were present? (14a)

- ☒ Office Order creating the Internal Audit Unit as prescribed by the DBM (Circular Letter No. 2008-5, April 14, 2008)
- ☐ Conduct of regular audit of procurement processes and transactions by internal audit unit
- ☒ Internal audit recommendations on procurement-related matters are implemented within 6 months of the submission of the auditor's report

17. Are COA recommendations responded to or implemented within six months of the submission of the auditors' report? (14b)

☐ Yes

If yes, percentage of COA recommendations responded to or implemented within six months
100 %

☒ No procurement related recommendations received

18. In determining whether the Procuring Entity has an efficient procurement complaints system and has the capacity to comply with procedural requirements, which of conditions is/are present? (15a)

- ☒ The BAC and the HOPE resolved Requests for Reconsideration and Protests within seven (7) calendar days as per Section 55 of the IRR and decisions
- ☐ Decisions on Protests are submitted to GPPB
- ☐ Procuring entity acts upon and adopts specific measures to address procurement-related complaints, referrals, subpoenas by the Omb, COA, GPPB or any quasi-judicial/quasi-administrative body

19. In determining whether agency has a specific anti-corruption program/s related to procurement, which of these conditions is/are present? (16a)

☒ Agency has a specific good governance program including anti-corruption and integrity development;

[Handwritten signature]

AGENCY PROCUREMENT COMPLIANCE AND PERFORMANCE INDICATOR (APCPI)
CONFIRMATION QUESTIONNAIRE

- ☐ Agency has a specific office responsible for the implementation of good governance programs;
- ☒ Agency has specific policies and procedures in place for detection and prevention of corruption associated with procurement.

only

f